


<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/663,492	SPINECORE INC.	

<b>All Participants:</b>	<b>Status of Application:</b> _____
(1) <u>Brian E. Pellegrino</u> .	(3) _____.
(2) <u>Raymond Garguilo</u> .	(4) _____.
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>5 January 2007</u>	<b>Time:</b> _____
<b>Type of Interview:</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____	
<b>Part I.</b>	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
Claims discussed:	
21	
Prior art documents discussed:	
<u>Young (6190414)</u>	
<b>Part II.</b>	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
<i>The Examiner discussed claim 21 with Mr. Garguilo and noted that an updated search found revealed the Young '414 patent which appeared to read on claim 21. Authorization was given to the Examiner for the Examiner's amendment.</i>	
<b>Part III.</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)